

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Pat nt and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/872,659	06/10/97	NAGY		S	016199/1110
				E	EXAMINER
IM62/0512 LIDDELL SAPP ZIVLEY HILL & LABOON ATTN: PATRICIA PAQUET				RABAGO, R	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	SUITE 3400 77002-3095			1713	10
				DAIL MAILED.	05/12/99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No. 08/872,659

Applic_n(s)

Examiner

Roberto Rabago

Group Art Unit 1713

Nagy et al.

nit ()

Responsive to communication(s) filed on Feb 22, 1999	
☑ This action is FINAL .	
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935	
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure tapplication to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensic 37 CFR 1.136(a).	to respond within the period for response will cause the
Disposition of Claims	
X Claim(s) 21-70	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
☐ Claim(s)	
Claim(s)	
☐ Claims	
Application Papers	
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing	Review, PTO-948.
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are object	ed to by the Examiner.
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is approved disapproved.
\square The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of	f the priority documents have been
received.	
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Num	
$\hfill\Box$ received in this national stage application from the	International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
*Certified copies not received:	
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priorit	y under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
Attachment(s)	
Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	•
□ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No.	o(s)8
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413	
□ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-94	. 8
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON T	THE FOLLOWING PAGES
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON T	in i oredinito i noco

Application/Control Number: 08/872,659 Page 2

Art Unit: 1713

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. Receipt of amendment to the specification, a new declaration and power of attorney, cancellation of claims 1-20, presentation of new claims 21-70, a terminal disclaimer and an IDS filed 2/22/99 are acknowledged.
- 2. Objections to the declaration and to the specification are withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

4. Claims 21-70 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 08/872,659

Art Unit: 1713

New claims 21, 36 and 52 each allow L to be a C_{1-16} alkyl substitution. The disclosure as filed provides no basis for these species, and the limitation is deemed to be new matter.

New claims 21, 36 and 52 each allow L to be a naphthalene derivative containing no ring nitrogen (second drawn structure for L). The disclosure as filed provides no basis for these species, and the limitation is deemed to be new matter.

New claim 52 includes a limitation that three specific compounds are excluded. The disclosure as filed provides no basis for this exclusion, and the limitation is deemed to be new matter.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 6. Claims 21-70 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Nagy et al. 5,637,660.

The applied reference has a common inventor with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e).

This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) might be overcome either by a showing under 37.

CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the

Application/Control Number: 08/872,659

Art Unit: 1713

inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by a showing of a date of invention for the instant application of any unclaimed subject matter prior to the effective U.S. filing date of the reference under 37 CFR 1.131.

The reference discloses and claims a catalyst and a method of polymerization which fully meet the content of each instant claim (col. 2-4, Examples 1-4). While not all species are exemplified, patentee provides clear direction in the structure of the catalyst and in the method of polymerization.

Applicant's arguments filed 2/22/99 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The instant claims comprise the bulk of the subject matter disclosed and claimed in the reference, and further include new species not disclosed in the reference. Rather than limiting the current claims to species not disclosed in the reference, applicants have claimed material which was fully disclosed in the reference and have re-claimed subject matter already claimed in the reference. Although applicants have argued in Paper No. 7 that the new species are not obvious over the reference, such argument is ineffective because the claims comprise genera containing the reference species and the new species. A species anticipates a genus. *In re Slater*, 276 F.2d 408, 411, 125 USPQ 345, 347 (CCPA 1960), *In re Gostelli*, 872 F.2d 1008, 10 USPQ2d 1614 (Fed. Cir. 1989).

Applicants have further argued that no rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103 can be maintained in light of common ownership, citing 35 U.S.C. 103© (Paper No. 7, pg. 17, second full paragraph). However, the statute applies to subject matter which qualifies as prior art under <u>only</u> 35. U.S.C.

Application/Control Number: 08/872,659 Page 5

Art Unit: 1713

102 (f) or (g). Applicants have stated in Paper No. 7 that the new species not disclosed in the parent are not obvious over those of the reference (pg. 14, last paragraph, through pg. 17, line 3). Accordingly, the new species are not entitled to the filing date of the parent application, and the reference qualifies as prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e)/103.

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 08/872,659

.....

Art Unit: 1713

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Rob Rabago whose telephone number is (703) 308-4347. The examiner can

normally be reached from 6:30 to 3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful and the matter is urgent,

the examiner's supervisor, James Seidleck, can be reached on (703) 308-2462. The fax phone

numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are:

(703) 305-5408 (official), (703) 305-3599 (official after final) and (703) 306-3429 (unofficial).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0651.

RR ER

May 10, 1999

DAVID W. WU PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1500

Ravid Wa